

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF IMPERIAL

FILED

MAR 21 2006

SUPERIOR COURT
IMPERIAL COUNTY CA.
JOSE O. GUILLEN, CLERK
BY [Signature] DEPUTY

Calexico Hospital et al.
Plaintiff,

vs.

CA Department Health Service
Defendant.

Case No. L-00074

Judgment on Verdict
Sec. 668-664 C.C.P.

This action came regularly for trial 1/23/06 – 1/27/06, 1/31/06 – 2/3/06, 2/7/06 – 2/10/06, 2/14/06 – 2/17/06, 2/21/06 – 2/24/06, 2/28/06 - 3/1/06, 3/7/06 – 3/10/06, 3/14/06 – 3/17/06, and 3/20/06. The said parties appeared by their attorneys, Dan Lawton and Joseph Kracht, counsel for the plaintiff, and David Taglienti and Michael Cayaban, counsel for defendant(s).

A jury of twelve persons was regularly empanelled and sworn to try said action. Witnesses on the part of plaintiff(s), and defendant(s) were sworn and examined. After hearing the evidence, the arguments of counsel, and instructions of the Court, the jury returned to consider their verdict, and subsequently returned into Court and being called, answered to their names, and duly rendered their verdict in writing in favor of the plaintiffs in words and figures as follows,:

1. Did any of the defendants engage in conduct that violated plaintiff's procedural due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment?

(a) Defendant Joan Carmen Briggs?

YES X OR NO: _____

(b) Defendant Nelsen Ford?

YES X OR NO: _____

(c) Defendant Robert Hunt, .M.D.?

YES X OR NO: _____

(d) Defendant Donna Loza?

YES X OR NO: _____

(e) Defendant Hitesvara Saravan?

YES X OR NO: _____

(f) Defendant Glenda Shekell?

YES X OR NO: _____

2. Was the violation of plaintiff's procedural due process rights a substantial factor in causing plaintiff damages?

(a) Defendant Joan Carmen Briggs?

YES X OR NO: _____

- (b) Defendant Nelsen Ford? YES X OR NO: _____
- (c) Defendant Robert Hunt, .M.D.? YES X OR NO: _____
- (d) Defendant Donna Loza? YES X OR NO: _____
- (e) Defendant Hitesvara Saravan? YES X OR NO: _____
- (f) Defendant Glenda Shekell? YES X OR NO: _____

3. Did the defendants engage in conduct that violated plaintiff's substantive due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment.?

- (a) Defendant Joan Carmen Briggs? YES X OR NO: _____
- (b) Defendant Nelsen Ford? YES X OR NO: _____
- (c) Defendant Robert Hunt, .M.D.? YES X OR NO: _____
- (d) Defendant Donna Loza? YES X OR NO: _____
- (e) Defendant Hitesvara Saravan? YES X OR NO: _____
- (f) Defendant Glenda Shekell? YES X OR NO: _____

4. Was the violation of plaintiff's substantive due process rights a substantial factor in causing plaintiff damages?

- (a) Defendant Joan Carmen Briggs? YES X OR NO: _____
- (b) Defendant Nelsen Ford? YES X OR NO: _____
- (c) Defendant Robert Hunt, .M.D.? YES X OR NO: _____
- (d) Defendant Donna Loza? YES X OR NO: _____
- (e) Defendant Hitesvara Saravan? YES X OR NO: _____
- (f) Defendant Glenda Shekell? YES X OR NO: _____

5. Are defendants entitled to qualified immunity?

- (a) Defendant Joan Carmen Briggs? YES _____ OR NO: X
- (b) Defendant Nelsen Ford? YES _____ OR NO: X
- (c) Defendant Robert Hunt, .M.D.? YES _____ OR NO: X
- (d) Defendant Donna Loza? YES _____ OR NO: X
- (e) Defendant Hitesvara Saravan? YES _____ OR NO: X
- (f) Defendant Glenda Shekell? YES _____ OR NO: X

6. What are plaintiff's damages?

\$ 12,000,000.00

Signed: /s/ Ralph Valdez

Presiding Juror


Dated: 3/20/06

WHEREFORE, by virtue of the law, and by reason of the premised aforesaid, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said plaintiffs have and are awarded judgment against defendants and each of them, in the sum of Twelve Million Dollars (\$12,000,000.00), lawful money of the United States, and for his costs fixed at _____ Dollars(s) (\$).

Date: March 21, 2006

JOSE O. GUILLEN, COURT EXECUTIVE OFFICER

By: Mari Taver
Deputy Clerk



THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT IS A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT
MAR 21 2006
CLERK OF THE COURT
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IMPERIAL COUNTY
JOSE O. GUILLEN
CLERK