

### Fwd:

2 messages

Scott A McMillan <scott@mcmillanlaw.us>
To: Noorhan Buttres <nbuttres@tmlf.us>

Wed, Mar 24, 2021 at 5:23 PM

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Placer County Elections < Election@placer.ca.gov>

Date: Wed, Mar 24, 2021 at 4:30 PM

Subject:

To: Scott@mcmillanlaw.us <Scott@mcmillanlaw.us>
Cc: Placer County Elections <Election@placer.ca.gov>

February 24, 2021

Via Electronic Mail

Scott A. McMillan, Esq.

The McMillan Law Firm

4670 Nebo Drive, Suite 200

La Mesa, CA 91941-5230

Email: Scott@mcmillanlaw.us

Re: Response to CPRA dated January 28, 2021

Good Afternoon Mr. McMillan,

This letter responds to your Public Records Act request received by the Placer County Clerk-Recorder-Registrar of Voters' Office on January 28, 2021. The request contained 63 categories of information relating generally to the November 3, 2020 General Election. On February 8<sup>th</sup>, the Clerk-Recorder-Elections Office requested additional time to prepare the response and indicated that a formal response would be provided by February 22, 2021. On February 22, 2021 that formal response was provided. Additionally,

To the extent that you seek additional information, the request submitted on January 28, 2021 seeks records which are, at least in part, disclosable public records. This office indicated that it was in the process of searching for, collecting, and appropriately examining a potentially voluminous number of records. As noted, certain categories of information required extraction or compilation, and others required submission of a voter registration information application. For the information requested that did not require extraction or compilation or an additional application, it was estimated that responsive information would be provided within thirty days, or by **Wednesday**, **March 24**, **2021**. This document serves as that response.

Please find our responsive documents of the items requiring action from Placer County (listed below) in this shared Box: https://placercounty.box.com/s/zyn6pprs22lwzo23y5njvhszibb8iqdf. Some documents were already provided in our earlier response of February 22, 2021 and are not attached.

#### Request No. 44:

Those documents reflecting the dates of certifications of information provided to the Secretary of State according to 2 CCR § 19086(b).

Response to Request No. 44:

For our responsive documents, see 15-Day ROR Placer.pdf (E-15 ROR certification), Placer ROR 9-14-20.pdf (E-60 ROR certification), and ror-pc.pdf (E-123 ROR certification) in the above shared Box.

### Request No. 47:

All certifications executed by any county election official for the November 3, 2020 General Election.

#### Response to Request No. 47:

For our responsive documents, see 11032020\_Certifications.pdf, Signed Certifications of Charter Amendments – 2020 City of Roseville.pdf, 2020 Placer County Charter.pdf in the above shared Box.

# Request No. 48:

The number of ballots determined by the county through adjudication for the November 3, 2020 election.

## Response to Request No. 48:


The number of ballots adjudicated: 12,218. For our responsive documents, see **2020 Gen Adjudication Stats\_All.signed.pdf** in the above shared Box.

#### Request No. 49:

All ballots duplicated by the county through adjudication for the November 3, 2020 election.

#### Response to Request No. 49:

The number of ballots duplicated through adjudication; <i>0.</i> For our responsive documents, see <i>Duplication Procedure.pdf</i> in the above shared Box.
Request No. 50:
Those documents that identify the records of ballots duplicated for the November 3, 2020 election.
Response to Request No. 50:
For our responsive documents, see <i>Dup Logs 11-3-2020 Election.pdf</i> in the above shared Box.
Request No. 51:
Those documents that identify the records of ballots adjudicated for the November 3, 2020 election.
Response to Request No. 51:
For our responsive documents, see 2020 Gen Adjudication Stats_All.signed.pdf, 2020 Gen Adjudication Report_All Batches.signed.pdf, 2020 Gen Write-In Resolution_All Batches.signed.pdf in the above shared Box.
Request No. 55:
All electronic documents containing the phrase "Image Cast Remote 5.10".
Response to Request No. 55:
For our responsive documents, see <i>ds510-ravbm-sec.pdf</i> , <i>ds510-ravbm-staff.pdf</i> , <i>dvs510staff-report.pdf</i> , <i>ravbm-510a.pdf</i> , <i>ravbm510aup-report.pdf</i> , <i>ravbm510software-report.pdf</i> in the above shared Box.
Request No. 57:
- All electronic documents containing the phrase "Image Cast Remote 5.2".
Response to Request No. 57:



# Philip Chantri, CERA

**Elections Manager** 

Clerk - Recorder - Elections

(530) 886-5650

election@placer.ca.gov



Volunteer and serve your community as a Poll Worker

https://bit.ly/2IOEZ66

#### **Disclaimer**

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

Thu, Mar 25, 2021 at 11:26 AM

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more Click Here.

#### Noorhan Buttres <nbuttres@tmlf.us>

To: Election@placer.ca.gov

Cc: Scott Mcmillan <scott@mcmillanlaw.us>

Dear Mr. Chantri,

In your previous email sent to Mr. McMillan on March 24, 2021 at 4:30PM, you claimed that on February 8, 2021, the Clerk-Recorder-Elections Office requested additional time to prepare the response, and that such response was provided on February 22, 2021. However, we do not seem to have received anything from Placer county, and this email is the first correspondence we had ever received in regard to the PRA. If the request for extension and the response were provided via email, would you kindly send a screenshot of that email or anything that shows that such correspondence took place? If not, then please do send us both the extension letter with the February 22 response (with the documents produced with it), as we would like to save them for our record.

Also, please note to include my email, nbuttres@tmlf.us, in any emails with Mr. McMillan, as I am his assistant that keeps track of records.

Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]

--

Noorhan Buttres
Attorney Assistant
The McMillan Law Firm, APC
4670 Nebo Drive, Suite 200
La Mesa, CA 91941
(619) 464-1500
Email: nbuttres@tmlf.us